

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Μ	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/687,276 10/13/2000		Sudhirdas K. Prayaga	15966-585A(Cura-85)	1197	
30623 75	90 06/25/2004	EXAMINER			
· ·	IN, COHN, FERRIS,	ANDRES,	ANDRES, JANET L		
AND POPEO, I ONE FINANCI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02111			1646		

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/687,276	PRAYAGA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Janet L. Andres	1646				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
tatus							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>	pril 2004.					
2a)	•	action is non-final.					
3)□	·						
isposit	ion of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 15-17,35,38 and 49-98 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 15-17,35,38 and 49-98 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  pplication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
riority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/687,276

Art Unit: 1646

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9 April 2004 has been entered.

Claims 15-17, 35, 38, and 49-98 are pending and under examination in this office action. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

#### Claim Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 15-17, 35, 38, and 49-73 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Chen et al. in view of Harlow et al. and Morrison et al. is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment limiting the antibodies to those that react with only particular portions of eek. While the sequence of eek is inherent to the protein characterized by Chen et al., there is nothing in Chen et al. that would direct the artisan of ordinary skill to make antibodies against any particular portion of the protein.

The rejection of claims 15-17, 35, 38, and 49-73 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Park et al. in view of Harlow et al. is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment limiting the antibodies to those that do not react with mouse eek.

However, as stated below, this amendment introduces new matter and cancellation of the new matter will result in reinstatement of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

## New Grounds of Objection/Rejection

### Specification

The amendment filed 9 April 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Antibodies against a particular region of the polypeptide are not contemplated in the specification as filed. There is nothing in the original specification or claims that supports antibodies specific for a portion of SEQ ID NO: 5 that do not bind to SEQ ID NO: 52.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 15-17, 35, 38, and 49-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant points to pages 10 and 13 of the specification and to the originally filed claims as providing support for the newly added limitations. However, as stated above, neither the specification as filed or the original claims refer to either a particular region of SEQ ID NO: 5 or to antibodies against that region. In addition, there is no support for antibodies that do not bind to the mouse sequence of SEQ ID NO: 52.

NO CLAIM IS ALLOWED

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet L. Andres whose telephone number is 571-272-0867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Janet L. Andres, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

23 June 2004

MATENT EXAMINER